Incorporating dynamic fleet structure in stock assessment models: Accounting for a rapidly developing pot fishery for Alaska sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*)

Matthew LH. Cheng, Daniel R. Goethel, Curry J. Cunningham Western Groundfish Conference







Acknowledgements



- Cunningham Lab
- North Pacific Groundfish and Halibut Observers
- University of Alaska College of Fisheries and Ocean Sciences
- Sablefish harvesters and stakeholders
- Cooperative Institute of Climate, Ocean, and Ecosystem Studies
- National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program









What is stock assessment?



Selectivity and Fleet structure

Fleet Structure

- Groups of vessels that share similar characteristics:
 - Similar gear types
- Fleets have own selectivity pattern

Selectivity

- Probability of capturing an individual given length or age
- Combination of:
 - Gear selectivity
 - Availability



Why care about fleet structure and selectivity?

- Misrepresentation of fishery processes can severely bias management reference points
 - e.g., treating these processes as static
- Most fishery processes change over time!



Case-study Example

Alaska Sablefish
(Anoplopoma fimbria)



The Saga's New Haul: Black Cod? | Deadliest Catch



How do we deal with this?

Current Approach (Combined)

1) Treat data from hook-and-line and pot gear as one fleet

 Selectivity varies (time-block) to account for pot regulatory shift

Potential Approach (Disaggregated)

2) Treat data from hook-and-line and pot gear as two fleets

Each fleet has its own selectivity



*Unclear if current approach is adequate

Objectives

Compare model variants and investigate implications of:

 addition of a new pot fishery fleet
assumed fleet selectivity (i.e., logistic or dome-shaped)



Study Design

- Sex-and age-structured assessment model
- Evaluate models based on:
- 1) Model fit
- 2) Key management quantities
- 3) Expert judgement

Model Name	Fleet Structure	Selectivity	Time-variation in selectivity
Comb_Logist (Status-quo)	Combined	Logistic	Time-block
Pot_Logist	Disaggregated	Logistic	None
Pot_Gamma	Disaggregated	Gamma	None



Model Fits to Compositions



Gamma selectivity fits better!



Estimated pot selectivities

Assessment - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma



10

Strong dome-shape estimated for gamma selectivity! Suspect...

Estimates of key management quantities



Similar Spawning Stock Biomass (SSB) estimates across models

Strong domed selectivity is *suspect*

4 reasons why:

- 1) Incentive to avoid small fish
- 2) Limited time-series for pot fishery
- 3) Experiments suggest contact selectivity is similar
- 4) High recruitment events coincide with pot gear shift in 2017

Bottom Line

- Is the time-block an adequate approach?
- Treatment of fleet structure had minimal impacts on estimates
- Assumed selectivity had substantial impacts on harvest levels
- Knowledge of fishery is integral!
- Precaution needed following gear changes



Future work

- **Ongoing:** Simulations exploring best practices for the treatment of fleet structure and selectivity
- Explore alternative selectivity parameterizations as pot time-series increases



Questions?

Email: Ihcheng@alaska.edu Twitter: @MLCheng3

Extra Slides



Assessment - Comb_Logist - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma

Gear 📕 Hooks 🚺 Slinky pots



Gear 👖 Hooks 👖 Slinky pots



Age Comps



Caveat: High recruitment events are likely masking pot contact selectivity effects on age distributions

Sample sizes + age distributions from Aleutian Islands



Length distribution in BS

0.00

30 40

50 60 70

40 50 60 70 80

30



Gear a LONGLINER a POT OR TRAP

Length

Length distribution in Al

0.00

30 40

50 60 70

30 40 50 60 70 80

0.05 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.04 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.00 8.05 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.05 0:25 0:15 0:15 0:10 0:05 8:85 8:83 8:82 8:82 8:82 8:80 0.075 0.050 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.025 80 100 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.04 0.06 0.04 A 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 90 110 50 75 100 125 90 110 50 75 100 0.06 0.03 0.02 0.06 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 100 125 0.100 0.075 0.050 0.025 0.000 0.05 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.09 0.06 0.06 0.04 0.06 0.04 0.02 0.00 0.03 0.02 0.00 75 100 50 60 70 80 60 70 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02 0.00 0.06 0.04 0.02

Gear a LONGLINER a POT OR TRAP

Length distributions



Gear a LONGLINER a POT OR TRAP

Sample sizes + age distributions from Bering Sea



Gear a LONGLINER a POT OR TRAP

Caveat 2: High recruitment events tend to occur in BSAI region, which may impact the availability aspect of selectivity

Caveat 3: Sparse samples from BSAI pot fishery. Also, samples are only from a limited spatial distribution and a small subset of vessels

0.4 0.2 0.0

0

10

20

Length distribution in recent years (2017 – 2020)







Fits to Age Comps (Pot)



Assessment — Pot_Logist — Pot_Gamma

Fits to Length Comps (Females; Pot)



Fits to Length Comps (Males; Pot)



Assessment - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma

Fits to Age Comps (HAL)



Assessment - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma

Fits to Length Comps (Females; HAL)



Assessment - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma

Length

Fits to Length Comps (Males; HAL)



Assessment - Pot_Logist - Pot_Gamma

Fast_LL_DatLow





