Temperature effects on patterns of prey occurrence in Gulf of Alaska groundfish diets

Catalina Burch, Anne Beaudreau, Bridget Ferriss, Kerim Aydin, Jon Reum, Kirstin Holsman



University of Washington School of Marine and Environmental Affairs **NOAA Alaska Fisheries Science Center**









1976: REGIME SHIFT

Period of warming led to shift from crustacean to groundfish dominated.



1976: REGIME SHIFT

Period of warming led to shift from crustacean to groundfish dominated.

2014-2016: MARINE HEAT WAVE

"The Blob" caused cascading ecological effects within marine food webs.



1976: REGIME SHIFT

Period of warming led to shift from crustacean to groundfish dominated.

2014-2016: MARINE HEAT WAVE

"The Blob" caused cascading ecological effects within marine food webs.

2018-2019: RE-INTENSIFICATION OF MHW

The MHW was long lasting, and the re-intensification may cause compounding effects.



1976: REGIME SHIFT

Period of warming led to shift from crustacean to groundfish dominated.

2014-2016: MARINE HEAT WAVE

"The Blob" caused cascading ecological effects within marine food webs.

2018-2019: RE-INTENSIFICATION OF MHW

The MHW was long lasting, and the re-intensification may cause compounding effects.

FUTURE OCEANS

The Gulf of Alaska is warming rapidly due to climate change, and extreme temperature events are becoming more common.

BACKGROUND Insight through diets

Suryan et al. 2021

• Declines in forage fish (capelin and herring) within seabird diets.





BACKGROUND Insight through diets

Suryan et al. 2021

- Declines in forage fish (capelin and herring) within seabird diets.
- Declines in piscivorous sea bird reproductive success.







BACKGROUND **Groundfish Diets**



How do temperature shifts affect groundfish predation and diets?

- Spatiotemporal mismatch between predators and prey
- Bioenergetics changes lead to altered nutritional demands
- Mortality events lead to prey switching

BACKGROUND **Groundfish Diets**



How do temperature shifts affect groundfish predation and diets?

- Spatiotemporal mismatch between predators and prey
- Bioenergetics changes lead to altered nutritional demands 0
- Mortality events lead to prey switching
- 15 years of diets data (1990 2021)
 - NOAA Resource Ecology and Ecosystem Modeling (REEM) GOA bottom trawl surveys

BACKGROUND **Groundfish Diets**



How do temperature shifts affect groundfish predation and diets?

- Spatiotemporal mismatch between predators and prey
- Bioenergetics changes lead to altered nutritional demands
- Mortality events lead to prey switching
- 15 years of diets data (1990 2021)
 - NOAA Resource Ecology and Ecosystem Modeling (REEM) GOA bottom trawl surveys
- Focal predators
 - Arrowtooth flounder, Pacific halibut, Pacific cod, walleye pollock



OBJECTIVES

groundfish predators

1) Characterize spatiotemporal patterns of predation on key prey species by four focal



OBJECTIVES

groundfish predators

prey occurrence in predator diets

- 1) Characterize spatiotemporal patterns of predation on key prey species by four focal
- 2) Evaluate effects of temperature on patterns of



- Summarize diet compositions
 - percent weight
 - percent frequency of occurrence



- Summarize diet compositions
 - percent weight
 - percent frequency of occurrence
- Group prey items based on taxonomic or functional groups



- Summarize diet compositions
 - percent weight
 - percent frequency of occurrence
- Group prey items based on taxonomic or functional groups
- Visualize size-based patterns
 - ontogenetic shifts



- Summarize diet compositions
 - percent weight
 - percent frequency of occurrence
- Group prey items based on taxonomic or functional groups
- Visualize size-based patterns
 - ontogenetic shifts
- Identify focal prey
 - High proportion of diet
 - Shared by multiple predators
 - Previous research



Clupeidae (-)

• declined during MHW.

Osmeridae (-)

• declined during MHW/regime shift.

FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae (-)

• declined during MHW.

Osmeridae (-)

• declined during MHW/regime shift.

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Negative relationship between temperature and halibut consumption of pollock.
- Important component of cod diets, and cod crashed following MHW.

FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae (-)

• declined during MHW.

Osmeridae (-)

• declined during MHW/regime shift.

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Negative relationship between temperature and halibut consumption of pollock.
- Important component of cod diets, and cod crashed following MHW.

Euphausiacea (-) • Declines in abundance across the GOA after MHW. Groundfish may migrate to deeper waters during warm periods.



FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae (-)

• declined during MHW.

Osmeridae (-)

• declined during MHW/regime shift.

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Negative relationship between temperature and halibut consumption of pollock.
- Important component of cod diets, and cod crashed following MHW.

Euphausiacea (-)

- - MHW. Groundfish may migrate to deeper

Tanner Crab (-)

• Collapse of snow and king crab attributed to loss of cold pool.



- Declines in abundance across the GOA after
 - waters during warm periods.



FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae (-)

• declined during MHW.

Osmeridae (-)

• declined during MHW/regime shift.

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Negative relationship between temperature and halibut consumption of pollock.
- Important component of cod diets, and cod crashed following MHW.

Euphausiacea (-)

- - MHW. Groundfish may migrate to deeper
 - waters during warm periods.

Tanner Crab (-)

- loss of cold pool. Pandalidae shrimp (-)
 - Declined during regime shift.



CRUSTACEANS

• Declines in abundance across the GOA after

• Collapse of snow and king crab attributed to



METHODS Develop a model to test hypotheses



Generalized additive model with a logit link function



RESULTS _ Prey

















RESULTS Euphausidicea Prey Occurrence

trrow tooth

Flounder



RESULTS Walleye Pollock Prey Occurrence

cod

÷

Yrrowyooyh

·lounder



A. C.

Arrow tooth

DISCUSSION Did the model results support our hypotheses?

FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae/Osmeridae (-)

- No effect of temperature
- Decreased during MHW

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Optimal temperature range, no clear linear trend
- Increased during MHW, showing cyclical trend over time





Partially Supported Hypothesis

DISCUSSION Did the model results support our hypotheses?

FORAGE FISH

Clupeidae/Osmeridae (-)

- No effect of temperature
- Decreased during MHW

Walleye Pollock (-) / No Effect

- Optimal temperature range, no clear linear trend
- Increased during MHW, showing cyclical trend over time







- Euphausiacea (-)
 - Negative effect of temperature
 - Decline following MHW
- Tanner Crab (-)
 - No effect of temperature
 - Decline during MHW
- Pandalidae shrimp (-)
 - Negative effect of temperature
 - Overall declining occurrence over time





FINAL TAKEAWAYS

- that are yet to be accounted for.
- diets which are key prey for multiple commercial groundfish predators. for anticipating these effects.

• We are studying the effects of temperature on the consumption of focal prey species. • Euphausiids were the only prey that showed a clear negative relationship with temperature. • There could be **cascading foodweb effects** • Euphausiids are a large component of pollock Multi-species modeling can be an effective tool

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project is funded by the North Pacific Research Board Graduate Student Research Award; and the University of Washington, Dayton "Lee" Alverson Endowed Fellowship. Travel to this conference was supported by the College of the Environment Travel and Meeting Award. We would also like to thank the UW School of Marine and Environmental Affairs for providing ample resources and support, especially Dr. Anne Beaudreau for her excellent mentorship and guidance. Thank you to our collaborators at the AFSC for data access, advice, and review.

QUESTIONS?

Response Variable

Predators	Prey Group	Gear Temp	Gear Depth	Lat/Long	Year	Length	Preds
Arrowtooth flounder, Pacific cod, Walleye pollock	Euphausiacea	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Arrowtooth flounder, Pacific halibut, Pacific cod	Walleye pollock						1.00
Arrowtooth flounder, Pacific halibut	Forage Fish	0.59					
Pacific halibut, Pacific cod	Tanner Crab	0.28					
Arrowtooth flounder, Pacific cod, Walleye pollock	Pandalidae	0.87					

Predictor Variables

RESULTS Clupeidae & Osmeridae Prey Occurrence

RESULTS Tanner Crab Prey Occurrence

and and

METHODS **Model Fitting & Diagnostics**

- 1. Overdispersion a. Compare residual deviance : df
- 2. Zero inflation
 - a. Remove empty stomachs
- 3. Independence
 - a. Group predators from haul
- 4. Multicollinearity/Concurvity a. Correlation matrix for numeric predictors
- 5. Overfitting
 - a. Limit knots (k) in smooth function
- 6. Choosing best fit model
 - a. Compare AIC from alternative models